

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

BUNGIE INC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ELITE BOSS TECH INCORPORATED

ET AL,

CASE NO. 2:21-cv-01112-TL

ORDER ON SECOND STIPULATED MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF CASE SCHEDULE AND
AMENDED ORDER REGARDING INITIAL
DISCLOSURES

Pursuant to the Plaintiff's unopposed motion for extension of scheduling deadlines, Dkt. No. 14, the Court grants an extension of the initial schedule dates, as listed below. Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 6(b)(1)(A). The Court also requests that the Parties follow the formatting specified herein when submitting their Joint Status Report.

I. INITIAL SCHEDULING DATES

The Court sets the following dates for the initial disclosure and submission of the Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan:

Deadline for FRCP 26(f) Conference: 2/9/2022

Initial Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1): 2/16/2022

Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery
Plan as Required by FRCP 26(f)
and Local Civil Rule 26(f): 2/23/2022

As the parties are being granted a second extension of the deadlines above, any future extensions of the above deadlines may be extended only by the Court. Any request for an extension should be made by email to Kadya Peter, Courtroom Deputy, at Kadya_Peter@wawd.uscourts.gov. Plaintiffs and any Defendants who have appeared shall meet and confer before contacting the Court to request an extension²¹ and include a certification as to this requirement with any opposed motion.

If this case involves claims that are exempt from the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (“FRCP”) 26(a) and 26(f), please notify Kadya Peter, Courtroom Deputy, at Kadya_Peter@wawd.uscourts.gov.

II. PROCEDURAL INFORMATION

All counsel and *pro se* parties shall review the Local Rules, Electronic Filing Procedures for Civil and Criminal Cases, court forms, instruction sheets, and General Orders, which can be found on the Court’s website at www.wawd.uscourts.gov.

In addition, all counsel and *pro se* parties shall review Judge Lin’s Chambers Procedures at <http://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/judges/lin-procedures>.

Counsel and *pro se* parties are expected to abide by the requirements set forth in the Local Rules as well as Judge Lin’s Chambers Procedures. Failure to do so may result in the imposition of sanctions.

III. JOINT STATUS REPORT & DISCOVERY PLAN

As noted above, all counsel and any *pro se* parties are directed to confer and provide the Court with a combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan (the “Report”) by February 23,

2022. This conference shall be by direct and personal communication, whether that be an in-person or virtual face-to-face meeting or a telephonic conference. The Report will be used to set a schedule for the prompt resolution of the case. It must contain the following information by corresponding paragraph numbers:

1. A statement of the nature and complexity of the case.

2. The parties have the right to consent to assignment of this case to a full time United States Magistrate Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Local Rule MJR 13 to conduct all proceedings. The Western District of Washington assigns a wide range of cases to Magistrate Judges. The Magistrate Judges of this District thus have significant experience in all types of civil matters filed in our court. Additional information about our district's Magistrate Judges can be found at www.wawd.uscourts.gov. The parties should indicate whether they agree that the Honorable Michelle L. Peterson may conduct all proceedings in this case, including trial and the entry of judgment. When responding to this question, the parties should only respond "yes" or "no." Individual party responses should not be provided. A "yes" response should be indicated only if all parties consent. Otherwise, a "no" response should be provided. Individual party responses should not be provided.

3. A proposed deadline for joining additional parties.

4. For class action cases only: A proposed deadline for the filing of the Motion for Class Certification. If the deadline proposed by the parties is not within the period set forth in Local Rule 23(f)(3), the parties shall provide good cause for an extension. The parties shall propose an agreed-upon briefing schedule for the class certification motion. Non-class action cases shall simply state "N/A."

1 5. A discovery plan that states, by corresponding paragraph letters (A, B, etc.), the
2 parties' views and proposals on all items set forth in FRCP 26(f)(3), which includes the
3 following topics:

4 (A) initial disclosures;

5 (B) subjects, timing, and potential phasing of discovery;

6 (C) any issues about discovery or electronically stored information;

7 (D) privilege issues;

8 (E) changes to discovery limitations imposed under the Federal and Local Civil
9 Rules or other proposed limitations on discovery; and

10 (F) the need for any discovery-related orders.

11 6. The parties' views, proposals, and agreements, by corresponding paragraph letters
12 (A, B, etc.), on all items set forth in Local Civil Rule 26(f)(1), which includes the following
13 topics:

14 (A) prompt case resolution;

15 (B) alternative dispute resolution;

16 (C) related cases;

17 (D) discovery management;

18 (E) anticipated discovery sought;

19 (F) phasing motions;

20 (G) preservation of discoverable information;

21 (H) privilege issues;

22 (I) Model Protocol for Discovery of ESI; and

23 (J) alternatives to the Model Protocol.
24

1 7. The date by which discovery will be completed.

2 8. Whether the case should be bifurcated, *e.g.*, by trying the liability issues before
3 the damages issues; or bifurcated in any other way.

4 9. Whether the pretrial statements and pretrial order called for by Local Civil Rules
5 16(e), (h), (i), and (k) and 16.1 should be dispensed with in whole or in part for the sake of
6 economy.

7 10. Whether the parties intend to utilize the Individualized Trial Program set forth in
8 Local Civil Rule 39.2.

9 11. Whether the parties intend to utilize any Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”)
10 options set forth in Local Civil Rule 39.1.

11 12. Any other suggestions for shortening or simplifying the case.

12 13. The date the case will be ready for trial. The Court expects that most civil cases
13 will be ready for trial within a year after filing the Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan. If the
14 parties will be proposing a trial date more than fourteen months from the date of the filing of the
15 Joint Status Report, the parties shall include in this section of the report an explanation as to why
16 the additional time is required.

17 14. Whether the trial will be a jury or non-jury trial.

18 15. The number of trial days required.

19 16. The names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all trial counsel.

20 17. The dates on which trial counsel may have conflicts or other complications to be
21 considered in setting a trial date.

22 18. If, on the due date of the Report, all Defendant(s) or respondent(s) have not been
23 served, counsel for Plaintiff(s) shall advise the Court when service will be effected, why it was
24

1 not made earlier, and shall provide a proposed schedule for the required FRCP 26(f) conference
2 and FRCP 26(a) initial disclosures.

3 19. Whether any party wishes to have a scheduling conference before the Court enters
4 a scheduling order in the case.

5 20. List the date(s) that each and every nongovernmental corporate party filed its
6 disclosure statement pursuant to FRCP 7.1 and Local Civil Rule 7.1.

7 21. A certification that all counsel and any *pro se* parties have reviewed Judge Lin's
8 Chambers Procedures, the Local Rules, and the applicable Electronic Filing Procedures.

9 22. A certification that all counsel and any *pro se* parties have reviewed and complied
10 with Judge Lin's Standing Order Regarding 28 U.S.C. § 455 and Canon 3 of the Code of
11 Conduct for United States Judges.

12 If the parties are unable to agree on any part of the Report, they may answer in separate
13 paragraphs. No separate reports are to be filed. If the parties wish to have a status conference
14 with the Court at any time during the pendency of this action, they should notify Kadya Peter,
15 Courtroom Deputy, at Kadya_Peter@wawd.uscourts.gov.

16 IV. PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSIBILITY

17 This Order is issued at the outset of the case, and a copy is sent by the clerk to counsel for
18 Plaintiff (or to Plaintiff, if *pro se*) and any Defendants who have appeared. Plaintiff's counsel (or
19 Plaintiff, if *pro se*) is directed to serve copies of this Order on all parties who appear after this
20 Order is filed. Such service shall be accomplished within ten (10) days after each appearance.
21 Plaintiff's counsel (or Plaintiff, if *pro se*) will be responsible for starting the communications
22 needed to comply with this Order.

V. EARLY SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATION & NOTIFICATION

When civil cases are settled early—before becoming costly and time consuming—all parties and the Court benefit. The Federal Bar Association Alternative Dispute Resolution Task Force Report for this District has stated:

[T]he major ADR-related problem is not the percentage of civil cases that ultimately settle, since statistics demonstrate that approximately 95% of all cases are resolved without trial. However, the timing of settlement is a major concern. Frequently, under our existing ADR system, case resolution occurs far too late, after the parties have completed discovery and incurred substantial expenditure of fees and costs.

The judges of this District have adopted a resolution “approving the Task Force’s recommendation that court-connected ADR services be provided as early, effectively, and economically as possible in every suitable case.” The steps required by this Order are meant to help achieve that goal while preserving the rights of all parties.

If settlement is achieved, counsel shall immediately notify Kadya Peter, Courtroom Deputy, at Kadya.Peter@wawd.uscourts.gov.

VI. SANCTIONS

The parties are responsible for complying with the terms of this Order. The Court may impose sanctions on any party who fails to comply fully with this Order.

Dated this 26th day of January, 2022.



Tana Lin
United States District Judge